

biochemistry and optical techniques. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **23**: 1353-1362.

Takeuchi, Y., Kubiske, M.E., Isebrands, J.G., Pregitzer, K.S., Hendrey, G. and Karnosky, D.F. 2001. Photosynthesis, light and nitrogen relationships in a young deciduous forest canopy under open-air CO₂ enrichment. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **24**: 1257-1268.

Tjoelker, M.G., Oleksyn, J. and Reich, P.B. 1998. Seedlings of five boreal tree species differ in acclimation of net photosynthesis to elevated CO₂ and temperature. *Tree Physiology* **18**: 715-726.

Turnbull, M.H., Tissue, D.T., Griffin, K.L., Rogers, G.N.D. and Whitehead, D. 1998. Photosynthetic acclimation to long-term exposure to elevated CO₂ concentration in *Pinus radiata* D. Don. is related to age of needles. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **21**: 1019-1028.

Wiemken, V. and Ineichen, K. 2000. Seasonal fluctuations of the levels of soluble carbohydrates in spruce needles exposed to elevated CO₂ and nitrogen fertilization and glucose as a potential mediator of acclimation to elevated CO₂. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **156**: 746-750.

7.5. Competition

Do higher levels of atmospheric CO₂ favor some plants over others? Could this result in ecological changes that could be judged “bad” because of their effects on wildlife or plants that are beneficial to mankind? This section seeks to answer these questions by surveying research on the effects of CO₂ enhancement on C₃ versus C₄ plants, and weeds versus crops.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews on competition not discussed here, can be found at http://www.co2science.org/subject/c/subject_c.php under the heading Competition.

7.5.1. C₃ vs C₄ Plants

C₃ plants typically respond better to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment than do C₄ plants in terms of increasing their rates of photosynthesis and biomass production. Hence, it has periodically been suggested that in a world of rising atmospheric CO₂ concentration, C₃ plants may out-compete C₄ plants and displace them, thereby decreasing the biodiversity of certain ecosystems. However, the story is much more complex than what is suggested by this simple scenario.

Wilson *et al.* (1998) grew 36 species of perennial grass common to tallgrass prairie ecosystems with and without arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, finding that the dry matter production of the C₃ species that were colonized by the fungi was the same as that of the non-inoculated C₃ species, but that the fungal-colonized C₄ species produced, on average, 85 percent *more* dry matter than the non-inoculated C₄ species. This finding is of pertinence to the relative responsiveness of C₃ and C₄ plants to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment; for elevated levels of atmospheric CO₂ tend to enhance the mycorrhizal colonization of plant roots, which is known to make soil minerals and water more available for plant growth. Hence, this CO₂-induced fungal-mediated growth advantage, which from this study appears to be more readily available to C₄ plants, could well counter the inherently greater biomass response of C₃ plants relative to that of C₄ plants, leveling the playing field relative to their competition for space in any given ecosystem.

Another advantage that may come to C₄ plants as a consequence of the ongoing rise in the air's CO₂ content was elucidated by BassiriRad *et al.* (1998), who found that elevated CO₂ enhanced the ability of the perennial C₄ grass *Bouteloua eriopoda* to increase its uptake of NO₃⁻ and PO₄³⁻ considerably more than the perennial C₃ shrubs *Larrea tridentata* and *Prosopis glandulosa*. In an eight-year study of the effects of twice-ambient atmospheric CO₂ concentrations on a pristine tallgrass prairie in Kansas, Owensby *et al.* (1999) found that the elevated CO₂ did not affect the basal coverage of its C₄ species or their relative contribution to the composition of the ecosystem.

The antitranspirant effect of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment (Pospisilova and Catsky, 1999) is often more strongly expressed in C₄ plants than in C₃ plants and typically allows C₄ plants to better cope with water stress. In a study of the C₃ dicot *Abutilon theophrasti* and the C₄ dicot *Amaranthus retroflexus*, for example, Ward *et al.* (1999) found that *Amaranthus retroflexus* exhibited a greater relative recovery from drought than did the C₃ species, which suggests, in their words, that “the C₄ species would continue to be more competitive than the C₃ species in regions receiving more frequent and severe droughts,” which basically characterizes regions where C₄ plants currently exist.

Two years later, Morgan *et al.* (2001) published the results of an open-top chamber study of a native shortgrass steppe ecosystem in Colorado, USA, where

they had exposed the enclosed ecosystems to atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 360 and 720 ppm for two six-month growing seasons. In spite of an average air temperature increase of 2.6°C, which was caused by the presence of the open-top chambers, the elevated CO₂ increased above-ground biomass production by an average of 38 percent in both years of the study; and when 50 percent of the standing green plant biomass was defoliated to simulate grazing halfway through the growing season, atmospheric CO₂ enrichment still increased above-ground biomass by 36 percent. It was also found that the communities enriched with CO₂ tended to have greater amounts of moisture in their soils than communities exposed to ambient air; and this phenomenon likely contributed to the less negative and, therefore, less stressful plant water potentials that were measured in the CO₂-enriched plants. Last, the elevated CO₂ did not preferentially stimulate the growth of C₃ species over that of C₄ species in these communities. Elevated CO₂ did not significantly affect the percentage composition of C₃ and C₄ species in these grasslands; they maintained their original level of vegetative biodiversity.

This would also appear to be the conclusion of the study of Wand *et al.* (1999), who in a massive review of the scientific literature published between 1980 and 1997 analyzed nearly 120 individual responses of C₃ and C₄ grasses to elevated CO₂. On average, they found photosynthetic enhancements of 33 and 25 percent, respectively, for C₃ and C₄ plants, along with biomass enhancements of 44 and 33 percent, respectively, for a doubling of the air's CO₂ concentration. These larger-than-expected growth responses in the C₄ species led them to conclude that "it may be premature to predict that C₄ grass species will lose their competitive advantage over C₃ grass species in elevated CO₂."

Further support for this conclusion comes from the study of Campbell *et al.* (2000), who reviewed research work done between 1994 and 1999 by a worldwide network of 83 scientists associated with the Global Change and Terrestrial Ecosystems (GCTE) Pastures and Rangelands Core Research Project 1, which resulted in the publication of over 165 peer-reviewed scientific journal articles. After analyzing this body of research, they concluded that the "growth of C₄ species is about as responsive to CO₂ concentration as [is that of] C₃ species when water supply restricts growth, as is usual in grasslands containing C₄ species." The work of this group of scientists also provides no evidence for the suggestion

that C₃ plants may out-compete C₄ plants and thereby replace them in a high-CO₂ world of the future.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/b/biodive3vsc4.php>.

References

- BassiriRad, H., Reynolds, J.F., Virginia, R.A. and Brunelle, M.H. 1998. Growth and root NO₃⁻ and PO₄³⁻ uptake capacity of three desert species in response to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **24**: 353-358.
- Campbell, B.D., Stafford Smith, D.M., Ash, A.J., Fuhrer, J., Gifford, R.M., Hiernaux, P., Howden, S.M., Jones, M.B., Ludwig, J.A., Manderscheid, R., Morgan, J.A., Newton, P.C.D., Nosberger, J., Owensby, C.E., Soussana, J.F., Tuba, Z. and ZuoZhong, C. 2000. A synthesis of recent global change research on pasture and rangeland production: reduced uncertainties and their management implications. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **82**: 39-55.
- Morgan, J.A., Lecain, D.R., Mosier, A.R. and Milchunas, D.G. 2001. Elevated CO₂ enhances water relations and productivity and affects gas exchange in C₃ and C₄ grasses of the Colorado shortgrass steppe. *Global Change Biology* **7**: 451-466.
- Owensby, C.E., Ham, J.M., Knapp, A.K. and Auen, L.M. 1999. Biomass production and species composition change in a tallgrass prairie ecosystem after long-term exposure to elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Global Change Biology* **5**: 497-506.
- Pospisilova, J. and Catsky, J. 1999. Development of water stress under increased atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *Biologia Plantarum* **42**: 1-24.
- Wand, S.J.E., Midgley, G.F., Jones, M.H. and Curtis, P.S. 1999. Responses of wild C₄ and C₃ grass (Poaceae) species to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration: a meta-analytic test of current theories and perceptions. *Global Change Biology* **5**: 723-741.
- Ward, J.K., Tissue, D.T., Thomas, R.B. and Strain, B.R. 1999. Comparative responses of model C₃ and C₄ plants to drought in low and elevated CO₂. *Global Change Biology* **5**: 857-867.
- Wilson, G.W.T. and Hartnett, D.C. 1998. Interspecific variation in plant responses to mycorrhizal colonization in tallgrass prairie. *American Journal of Botany* **85**: 1732-1738.

7.5.2. N-Fixers vs. Non-N-Fixers

Will nitrogen-fixing (N-fixing) plants benefit more from atmospheric CO₂ enrichment than non-N-fixers and thus obtain a competitive advantage over them that could lead to some non-N-fixers being excluded from certain plant communities, thereby decreasing the biodiversity of those ecosystems?

In a two-year glasshouse study of simulated low-fertility ecosystems composed of grassland species common to Switzerland, Stocklin and Korner (1999) found that atmospheric CO₂ enrichment gave nitrogen-fixing legumes an initial competitive advantage over non-N-fixers. However, it would be expected that, over time, a portion of the extra nitrogen fixed by these legumes would become available to neighboring non-N-fixing species, which would then be able to use it to their own advantage, thereby preserving the species richness of the ecosystem over the long haul. Indeed, in a four-year study of an established (non-simulated) high grassland ecosystem located in the Swiss Alps, Arnone (1999) found no difference between the minimal to non-existent growth responses of N-fixing and non-N-fixing species to elevated levels of atmospheric CO₂.

In a study of mixed plantings of the grass *Lolium perenne* and the legume *Medicago sativa*, Matthies and Egli (1999) found that elevated CO₂ did not influence the competition between the two plants, either directly or indirectly via its effects upon the root hemiparasite *Rhinanthus alectorolophus*. In a study of mixed plantings of two grasses and two legumes, Navas *et al.* (1999) observed that plant responses to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment are more dependent upon neighboring plant density than they are upon neighboring plant identity.

In the few studies of this question that have been conducted to date, therefore, it would appear that there is little evidence to suggest that N-fixing legumes will out-compete non-N-fixing plants.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/b/biodivnfixers.php>.

References

Arnone III, J.A. 1999. Symbiotic N₂ fixation in a high Alpine grassland: effects of four growing seasons of elevated CO₂. *Functional Ecology* **13**: 383-387.

Matthies, D. and Egli, P. 1999. Response of a root hemiparasite to elevated CO₂ depends on host type and soil nutrients. *Oecologia* **120**: 156-161.

Navas, M.-L., Garnier, E., Austin, M.P. and Gifford, R.M. 1999. Effect of competition on the responses of grasses and legumes to elevated atmospheric CO₂ along a nitrogen gradient: differences between isolated plants, monocultures and multi-species mixtures. *New Phytologist* **143**: 323-331.

Stocklin, J. and Korner, C. 1999. Interactive effects of elevated CO₂, P availability and legume presence on calcareous grassland: results of a glasshouse experiment. *Functional Ecology* **13**: 200-209.

7.5.3. Weeds vs. Non-Weeds

Elevated CO₂ typically stimulates the growth of nearly all plant species in monoculture, including those deemed undesirable by humans, i.e., weeds. Consequently, it is important to determine how future increases in the air's CO₂ content may influence relationships between weeds and non-weeds when they grow competitively in mixed-species stands.

Dukes (2002) grew model serpentine grasslands common to California, USA, in competition with the invasive forb *Centaurea solstitialis* at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 350 and 700 ppm for one year, determining that elevated CO₂ increased the biomass proportion of this weedy species in the community by a mere 1.2 percent, while total community biomass increased by 28 percent. Similarly, Gavazzi *et al.* (2000) grew loblolly pine seedlings for four months in competition with both C₃ and C₄ weeds at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 260 and 660 ppm, reporting that elevated CO₂ increased pine biomass by 22 percent while eliciting no response from either type of weed.

In a study of pasture ecosystems near Montreal, Canada, Taylor and Potvin (1997) found that elevated CO₂ concentrations did not influence the number of native species returning after their removal (to simulate disturbance), even in the face of the introduced presence of the C₃ weed *Chenopodium album*, which normally competes quite effectively with several slower-growing crops in ambient air. In fact, atmospheric CO₂ enrichment did not impact the growth of this weed in any measurable way.

Ziska *et al.* (1999) also studied the C₃ weed *C. album*, along with the C₄ weed *Amaranthus retroflexus*, in glasshouses maintained at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 360 and 720 ppm. They

determined that elevated CO₂ significantly increased the photosynthetic rate and total dry weight of the C₃ weed, but that it had no effect on the C₄ weed. Also, they found that the growth response of the C₃ weed to a doubling of the air's CO₂ content was approximately 51 percent, which is about the same as the average 52 percent growth response tabulated by Idso (1992), and that obtained by Poorter (1993) for rapidly growing wild C₃ species (54 percent), which finding suggests there is no enhanced dominance of the C₃ weed over other C₃ plants in a CO₂-enriched environment.

Wayne *et al.* (1999) studied another agricultural weed, field mustard (*Brassica kaber*), which was sown in pots at six densities, placed in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 350 and 700 ppm, and sequentially harvested during the growing season. Early in stand development, elevated CO₂ increased above-ground weed biomass in a density-dependent manner, with the greatest stimulation of 141 percent occurring at the lowest density (corresponding to 20 plants per square meter) and the smallest stimulation of 59 percent occurring at the highest density (corresponding to 652 plants per square meter). However, as stands matured, the density-dependence of the CO₂-induced growth response disappeared, and CO₂-enriched plants exhibited an average above-ground biomass that was 34 percent greater than that of ambiently grown plants across a broad range of plant densities. This final growth stimulation was similar to that of most other herbaceous plants exposed to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment (30 to 50 percent biomass increases for a doubling of the air's CO₂ content), evidence once again that atmospheric CO₂ enrichment confers no undue advantage upon weeds at the expense of other plants.

In a study of a weed that affects both plants and animals, Caporn *et al.* (1999) examined bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum*), which poses a serious weed problem and potential threat to human health in the United Kingdom and other regions, growing specimens for 19 months in controlled environment chambers maintained at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 370 and 570 ppm and normal or high levels of soil fertility. They found that the high CO₂ treatment consistently increased rates of net photosynthesis by 30 to 70 percent, depending on soil fertility and time of year. However, elevated CO₂ did not increase total plant dry mass or the dry mass of any plant organ, including rhizomes, roots and fronds. In fact, the only significant effect of elevated CO₂ on

bracken growth was observed in the normal nutrient regime, where elevated CO₂ reduced mean frond area.

Finally, in a study involving two parasitic species (*Striga hermonthica* and *Striga asiatica*), Watling and Press (1997) reported that total parasitic biomass per host plant at an atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 700 ppm was 65 percent less than it was in ambient air. And in a related study, Dale and Press (1999) observed that the presence of a parasitic plant (*Orobanche minor*) reduced its host's biomass by 47 percent in ambient air of 360 ppm CO₂, but by only 20 percent in air of 550 ppm CO₂.

These several studies suggest that, contrary to what is claimed by the IPCC, the ongoing rise in the air's CO₂ content will not favor the growth of weedy species over that of crops and native plants. In fact, it may provide non-weeds greater protection against weed-induced decreases in their productivity and growth. Future increases in the air's CO₂ content may actually increase the competitiveness of non-weeds over weeds.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews of newer publications as they become available, can be found at <http://www.co2science.org/subject/b/weedsvsnonw.php>.

References

- Caporn, S.J.M., Brooks, A.L., Press, M.C. and Lee, J.A. 1999. Effects of long-term exposure to elevated CO₂ and increased nutrient supply on bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum*). *Functional Ecology* **13**: 107-115.
- Dale, H. and Press, M.C. 1999. Elevated atmospheric CO₂ influences the interaction between the parasitic angiosperm *Orobanche minor* and its host *Trifolium repens*. *New Phytologist* **140**: 65-73.
- Dukes, J.S. 2002. Comparison of the effect of elevated CO₂ on an invasive species (*Centaurea solstitialis*) in monoculture and community settings. *Plant Ecology* **160**: 225-234.
- Gavazzi, M., Seiler, J., Aust, W. and Zedaker, S. 2000. The influence of elevated carbon dioxide and water availability on herbaceous weed development and growth of transplanted loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*). *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **44**: 185-194.
- Idso, K.E. 1992. *Plant Responses to Rising Levels of Carbon Dioxide: A Compilation and Analysis of the Results of a Decade of International Research into the Direct Biological Effects of Atmospheric CO₂ Enrichment*. Climatological Publications Scientific Paper #23, Office of Climatology, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ.

Poorter, H. 1993. Interspecific variation in the growth response of plants to an elevated and ambient CO₂ concentration. *Vegetatio* **104/105**: 77-97.

Taylor, K. and Potvin, C. 1997. Understanding the long-term effect of CO₂ enrichment on a pasture: the importance of disturbance. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **75**: 1621-1627.

Watling, J.R. and Press, M.C. 1997. How is the relationship between the C₄ cereal *Sorghum bicolor* and the C₃ root hemi-parasites *Striga hermonthica* and *Striga asiatica* affected by elevated CO₂? *Plant, Cell and Environment* **20**: 1292-1300.

Wayne, P.M., Carnelli, A.L., Connolly, J. and Bazzaz, F.A. 1999. The density dependence of plant responses to elevated CO₂. *Journal of Ecology* **87**: 183-192.

Ziska, L.H., Teasdale, J.R. and Bunce, J.A. 1999. Future atmospheric carbon dioxide may increase tolerance to glyphosate. *Weed Science* **47**: 608-615.

7.6. Respiration

Nearly all of earth's plants respond favorably to increases in the air's CO₂ concentration by exhibiting enhanced rates of net photosynthesis and biomass production during the light part of each day. In many cases, observed increases in these parameters (especially biomass production) are believed to be due, in part, to CO₂-induced reductions in carbon losses via respiration during the day and especially at night (called "dark respiration"). In this summary, we examine what has been learned about this subject from experiments conducted on various herbaceous and woody plants.

Additional information on this topic, including reviews on respiration not discussed here, can be found at http://www.co2science.org/subject/r/subject_r.php under the heading Respiration.

7.6.1. Herbaceous Plants

7.6.1.1. Crops

Baker *et al.* (2000) grew rice in Soil-Plant-Atmosphere Research (SPAR) units at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 350 and 700 ppm during daylight hours. Under these conditions, rates of dark respiration decreased in both CO₂ treatments with short-term increases in the air's CO₂ concentration at night. However, when dark respiration rates were

measured at the CO₂ growth concentrations of the plants, they were not significantly different from each other.

Cousins *et al.* (2001) grew sorghum at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of 370 and 570 ppm within a free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) facility near Phoenix, Arizona, USA. Within six days of planting, the photosynthetic rates of the second leaves of the CO₂-enriched plants were 37 percent greater than those of the second leaves of the ambiently grown plants. However, this CO₂-induced photosynthetic enhancement slowly declined with time, stabilizing at approximately 15 percent between 23 and 60 days after planting. In addition, when measuring photosynthetic rates at a reduced oxygen concentration of 2 percent, they observed 16 and 9 percent increases in photosynthesis for the ambient and CO₂-enriched plants, respectively. These observations suggest that the extra 200 ppm of CO₂ was reducing photorespiratory carbon losses, although this phenomenon did not account for all of the CO₂-induced stimulation of photosynthesis.

Das *et al.* (2002) grew tropical nitrogen-fixing mungbean plants in open-top chambers maintained at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of either 350 or 600 ppm for two growing seasons, with the extra CO₂ being provided between either days 0 and 20 or days 21 and 40 after germination. This work revealed that the elevated CO₂ decreased rates of respiration by 54-62 percent, with the greatest declines occurring during the first 20 days after germination.

Wang *et al.* (2004) grew well-watered and fertilized South American tobacco plants from seed in 8.4-liter pots (one plant per pot) filled with sand and housed in controlled-environment growth chambers maintained at atmospheric CO₂ concentrations of either 365 or 730 ppm for a total of nine weeks. Over this period they found that the ratio of net photosynthesis per unit leaf area (A) to dark respiration per unit leaf area (Rd) "changed dramatically." Whereas A/Rd was the same in both treatments at the beginning of the measurement period, a month later it had doubled in the CO₂-enriched environment but had risen by only 58 percent in the ambient treatment. Speaking of this finding, the three researchers say that "if the dynamic relationship between A and Rd observed in *N. sylvestris* is applicable to other species, it will have important implications for carbon cycling in terrestrial ecosystems, since plants will assimilate CO₂ more efficiently as they mature."