Parents Save SF Charter School

But victory comes at a high price for Edison Schools

BY ALAN BONSTEEL

Culminating months of effort, hundreds of largely low-income, minority parents whose children attend San Francisco’s Edison Charter Academy were successful in persuading a majority of the city’s board of education to keep the school under the management of Edison Schools, Inc. rather than forcing it back under the authority of the San Francisco Unified School District.

On June 28, the San Francisco Board of Education voted 4-2 to transfer sponsorship of the elementary school from the district to the California State Board of Education, whose president is charter school advocate Reed Hastings.

Despite the jubilation that greeted the vote, the victory came at a high price. The school board imposed increased costs on Edison, froze the charter school in its current first-through-fifth-grade configuration, and barred the establishment of further school choice programs.

EDISON continued on page 6

WI Democrats Vote to Slash Voucher Funding

Education of thousands of children would be disrupted this fall

BY GEORGE A. CLOWES

“S o this is what the Democrats do when they’re in charge: They try to kill a program visibly benefiting thousands of needy schoolchildren in Milwaukee.”

“Madison’s Choice Killers”

June 14, 2001 editorial

Milwaukee Journal Sentinel

In a move that has angered and dismayed parents with children in voucher schools and sent school choice advocates scrambling, Democrats in the Wisconsin State Senate have voted to cut funding of the 10-year-old Milwaukee voucher program by half and to limit further participation in the program.

An earlier proposal to cut the funding was defeated in committee. At their annual convention in June, Wisconsin Democrats adopted an uncontested resolution condemning school choice programs.

If funding of the Milwaukee Parental Choice Program were reduced by half, more than 5,000 students could be looking for new schools in the fall because of voucher school closings, Milwaukee school choice leader Howard Fuller told State Senate Majority Leader Chuck Chvala, who proposed the program cuts. In the 2000-2001 school year, 9,638 students from low-income families used publicly funded vouchers worth up to $5,326 to pay for tuition at private schools in Milwaukee.

MILWAUKEE continued on page 8
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Linda Gausman, parent of a third grader and a member of the leadership team for Parents to Save Edison Charter Academy, speaks to over 200 parents, children, and community supporters on the steps of the San Francisco Board of Education.
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Chicago Schools
CEO Vallas Resigns

Mayor frustrated with disappointing reading scores

BY GEORGE A. CLOWES

I n surprise moves just a few weeks after the release of disappointing student test scores, Gery Chico and Paul Vallas, the two-man reform team largely responsible for nationally touted improvements in the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) over the past six years, abruptly resigned, Chico in late May and Vallas in early June.

Vallas’ resignation was preceded by a notable lack of support from Chicago Mayor Richard M. Daley, who frequently has expressed frustration with the slow pace of student reading improvement in the city’s schools.

Board President Chico had indicated in April that some significant administrative changes would be forthcoming to address the Mayor’s concerns about the lack of progress in elementary reading scores. The first news of those changes came on May 21, when CPS officials announced central office staff would be cut by 16 percent, eliminating some 400 non-teaching jobs, to provide $22 million to hire hundreds of new teachers in the fall.

Four days later, Chico resigned, saying he was leaving voluntarily to spend more time with his three daughters and his law practice.

“Six years is actually a lengthy period of service in this position,” said Chico, noting that only three prior board presidents had served longer than he had.

VALLAS continued on page 4
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Americans Like Public Schools . . . and Vouchers

BY GEORGE A. CLOWES

Are the American people sending a definitive message on school choice by consistently rejecting voucher initiatives at the ballot box? The teacher unions claim they are, using the voters’ rejection of vouchers as part of their own self-interested opposition to any new parental choice proposal. But the results of an extensive, nationally representative public opinion survey show Americans are, in fact, quite open to the idea of vouchers.

In a survey conducted by Stanford University political science professor Terry M. Moe, 60 percent of respondents registered their support for vouchers, and only 32 percent registered opposition. The strongest support came from families who could make use of vouchers to get their children out of low-quality schools.

The results of the survey are reported in Moe’s new book, Schools, Vouchers, and the American Public (Brookings Institution Press, 2001), a title that recalls his ground-breaking 1990 book with John E. Chubb, Politics, Markets, and America’s Schools. The earlier book promoted a vision of public education built on parental choice and competition among schools. Moe’s new book reviews progress toward that goal and carefully examines public opinion data to assess the likelihood of achieving further progress with different political coalitions and strategies.

“Decades from now, vouchers will come to be an integral part of American education,” Moe predicts.

Then why are voucher initiatives failing now? That has to do with how poorly informed people are, explains Moe.

Even though voucher supporters are in the majority, they aren’t well-informed about choice or its possible consequences. At the same time, Americans generally believe that having a public education system is a good thing; they think the schools are doing a reasonably good job; and they don’t want to do anything that might harm the functioning of the public school system. So if concerns are raised about the negative effects vouchers might have on the public schools, voters stick with the status quo and vote “No” on vouchers.

“On the opposition side, you don’t have to convince people that you’re right,” Moe explained to a Brookings Institution audience. “What you have to do is convince people that there is doubt [about vouchers], that there is uncertainty, that there is risk.” And given the general population’s comfort with the public education status quo, “this is a piece of cake.”

The lesson, concludes Moe, is that ballot-box initiatives are not the kinds of battles school choice advocates can win. The way to succeed, he contends, is through the legislative process: the way policy is normally made and changed in the U.S. The victory that has been achieved to date—Milwaukee, Cleveland, and Florida—all have been achieved by that route.

They Like Public, Prefer Private

Despite their support for public schools, Americans tend to think private schools are better. A majority of public school parents (52 percent) would be interested in sending their child to a private school if money were not a problem. These parents don’t seek out private schools for racist, elitist, or religious reasons, notes Moe, but because they want to place their children in better-performing schools.

If a voucher system were to be adopted, Moe found Americans are overwhelmingly convinced religious schools should be included in the range of schools where vouchers could be redeemed.

“One of Moe’s findings is sure to alarm many voucher advocates: Americans are overwhelmingly committed religious schools should be included in the range of schools where vouchers could be redeemed.”
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How to Continue the Improvement?

Mayor Richard M. Daley says he wants Chicago to have “the best school system possible” ... but how can his new leadership team build on the efforts of Gery Chico and Paul Vallas to improve the city's schools?

One place the mayor's new team might look for answers is in Houston, where Secretary of Education Roderick Paige was superintendent before joining the Bush administration earlier this year.

In 1994, Paige introduced a reading program that requires every elementary school teacher to be trained in reading instruction and every student to be tested on reading proficiency before being promoted to the next grade. The district offers extensive summer and weekend classes to enhance inadequate reading skills. The aim is to have children reading on target by the end of first grade.

Paige's program has been successful, according to a recent report from the Council of Great City Schools, called Beating the Odds: The report cited Houston as one of a small group of cities where the improvement in reading scores on state tests outpaced statewide improvements in all grades tests.

One highly successful reading instruction method is the Direct Instruction (DI) approach invented by Siegfried Engelmann. A demonstration of that method was launched years ago in five Chicago schools, but then was dropped because—contrary to DI's requirements—other reading instruction programs were implemented in the system's literacy programs and in the city's after-school and summer reading programs. According to Engelmann, DI's officials were given control over program implementation that the contract called for.

Mayor Daley's new team also could follow the example that New York Mayor Rudolph Giuliani set earlier this year and take Chicago school officials on a visit to Milwaukee. In May, seven members of the New York City school board heard Milwaukee Mayor John O. Norquist, parents, and educators praise the voucher system and describe how it has improved the Milwaukee Public Schools by forcing them to compete for students and for tax dollars. The New York group met with Milwaukee school superintendent Spence Korte, who regards choice as a spur that prompts public schools to improve.

Vallas Tires of "These Games"

Two weeks after Chico's resignation, Vallas also quit, saying a meeting with Daley had made it clear his future was not with the Chicago Public Schools. Later, however, both Daley and Vallas insisted that Vallas alone had made the decision.

"I don't want to play these games for another year," Vallas told the editors of the Chicago Tribune, saying he needed a break. "It's time to go."

Following the resignations, Daley said both Chico and Vallas had done "a remarkable job" and that "[Vallas] has been quite simply the best chief executive in the history of the Chicago Public Schools." Chico called Vallas' exit "a loss to the city" and gave the former city budget director much of the credit for improving the city's schools. After Vallas took over in 1995, he quickly brought the budget and operations of the system under control. Subsequently, he built dozens of new schools, ended social promotion, greatly expanded after-school and summer-school programs, and gained nationwide attention for the improvements he achieved.

"This system is much better off than before Gery Chico and I got here," said Vallas. "The schools are in great financial shape, and the children are rising to the challenge."

"No Magic Bullet," Replacements Warn

Daley moved quickly to name replacements. On June 28, Chico was replaced by Michael Scott, 51, a government affairs executive for AT&T Broadband and previously president of the Chicago Park District. Vallas was replaced by Arne Duncan, 36, his deputy chief of staff since 1999.

"There is no magic bullet, no one solution to these problems," said Scott when he was named Chico's replacement. Then, giving himself some breathing room, he added, "I do know that any effort, large or small, will not have an immediate impact."

Unlike Scott, a veteran of three mayoral administrations, Duncan has had little experience to prepare him to take over a $3.3 billion system with over 435,000 students, 45,900 employees, and some 600 schools.

Before becoming Vallas' deputy, Duncan was director of magnet schools for the Board of Education and director of the Ariel Education Initiative, a nonprofit group that promotes education in poor neighborhoods. He comes from a family of educators and is a former Harvard basketball star.

"I am optimistic that the public schools can offer every child a good education," Duncan said. "I want to be an advocate for every child and provide every child with a good education."

Daley praised Duncan as someone who can "work with people and groups with different views to find the common ground that puts our children first." Then, downplaying the issue that had led to his frustration with Vallas, he said, "Test scores may not improve as much as we would like."

The Record Under Vallas

Since 1995, when Vallas took over, Chicago's summer school enrollment has swelled from 30,000 to 200,000, and after-school enrollment has jumped from 100,000 to 250,000. From 1995 to 2001, the percent of students performing at national norms rose from 26.5 to 37.4 in elementary reading, from 29.4 to 43.1 in elementary math, from 23.6 to 31.8 in high school reading, and from 25.3 to 45.0 in high school math.

When Vallas took over, about one in four students in Chicago could read at grade level. Now one in three can read at grade level, but progress has stagnated.

In May, Iowa Test results showed that 37.8 percent of students in third- to eighth-grade in the city's schools could read at or above national norms, up from 36.2 percent in 2000. But reading scores for third-graders were down, even though summer school programs were instituted in 1999 specifically to boost the test scores of under-achieving first- and second-graders. Reading scores for high school students also were down.

Last September, a report from the University of Chicago's Consortium on Chicago School Research raised questions about the effectiveness of ending social promotion. Although socially promoted third- and sixth-graders did as well or better than retained students, the study showed that one-third of retained eighth-graders dropped out—about the same as before the bar on social promotion. In March, a Consortium report showed that nearly half of the system's students (43 percent) still drop out before graduating from high school.

Last November, 80 percent of participating city schools failed state tests, with overall results showing little improvement over 1999 scores.
Supreme Court OKs Bible Club Meetings in Schools

Excluding religious groups is “viewpoint discrimination”

BY GEORGE A. CLOWES

On June 11, the U.S. Supreme Court ordered in a 6-3 decision that school districts must allow children’s Bible clubs the same access to public schools for after-school meetings they provide to other community groups. The case involved the Milford, New York school district, which allowed nonreligious groups to use its facilities when school was over but denied access to the Good News Club, a Christian organization for young people aged 6 to 12.

Writing for the majority, Justice Clarence Thomas concluded the restriction “violates the club’s free-speech rights.”

Milford Still Aims to Exclude Good News Club

Although the U.S. Supreme Court ruled on June 11 that the Milford Central School district cannot exclude religious groups like the Good News Club from using its facilities for after-school activities, that doesn’t mean meet on school premises would be viewed as a school endorsement of Christianity over other religions, a violation of the First Amendment’s establishment clause.

The court sued, saying the school was discriminating against its viewpoint since the school allowed the Boy Scouts and other groups to give moral instruction in after-school club meetings. The Court agreed. “[W]e find it quite clear that Milford engaged in viewpoint discrimination when it excluded the club from the after-school forum,” wrote Justice Thomas, who added that “no Establishment Clause concern justifies that violation.”

“The Court’s decision is a reminder that religious speech is not second-class speech,” commented University of Notre Dame law professor Richard W. Garnett in the Wall Street Journal. He noted the decision also provides further support for the constitutionality of school voucher programs.

 “[T]he court’s current case law makes it clear that the First Amendment permits religious schools and faith-based service providers to participate in our shared efforts for educational opportunity and empowerment of religious groups will be meeting at the school any time soon.

According to Fox News, the district met the court decision with a proposal to consider new rules that either would bar all groups from using school facilities after hours, or would not permit use of school facilities until 5 or 6 p.m., which would be too late for the Good News Club meetings.

Thomas was joined in the decision not only by conservative Justices Anthony Kennedy, Sandra Day O’Connor, William Rehnquist, and Antonin Scalia, but also by the more liberal Justice Stephen Breyer in partial support. Justices Ruth Bader Ginsburg, David Souter, and John Paul Stevens dissented.

In reaching its decision, the Court did not break new ground but applied its rulings from two recent cases, Lamb’s Chapel (1993) and Rosenberger (1995). In the Lamb’s Chapel case, a school district was found to have violated the Constitution when it barred a private group from using its facilities to present family values from a religious perspective. In Rosenberger, the University of Virginia was found to have violated the Constitution when it denied funding to a student organization because its publication offered a Christian viewpoint.

In the present case, Milford’s policy permitted any group to use its facilities to “promote the moral and character development of children,” and yet barred groups that promoted this development from a religious perspective. This was impermissible “viewpoint discrimination,” the Court concluded.

“Speech discussing otherwise permissible subjects cannot be excluded from a limited public forum on the ground that the subject is discussed from a religious viewpoint,” wrote Thomas.

Milford claimed children would think the school had endorsed the club and feel “coercive pressure to participate” because the activities took place on school grounds. However, the Court found this argument “unpersuasive,” noting excluding the club could be perceived as “a hostility towards the religious viewpoint.” The important issue with regard to the Establishment Clause is that government programs should be neutral towards religion.

In Rosenberger, the Court found that the “guarantee of neutrality is respected, not offended, when the government, following neutral criteria and evenhanded policies, extends benefits to recipients whose ideologies and viewpoints, including religious ones, are broad and diverse.” In the present case, the Good News Club seeks nothing more than to be treated neutrally and given access to speak about the same topics as are other groups.

Other Court Action on School Choice

Ohio Attorney General Betty Montgomery in May asked the U.S. Supreme Court to review a 2-1 decision of the Sixth U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals finding the Cleveland school voucher program unconstitutional. The state Supreme Court had come to the opposite conclusion three years ago.

To assist in the appeal, Montgomery in June hired former Whitehall prosecutor Kenneth Starr, who was previously involved with the defense of the Milwaukee voucher program.

In an unexpected development on June 22, United States Solicitor General Theodore Olson filed a brief in the U.S. Supreme Court urging it to review the Appeals Court decision. The United States rarely files briefs urging court review of cases to which it is not a party.

The Supreme Court has declined review of other school choice decisions, but supporters believe the Bush administration’s action will boost their chances of Supreme Court review.

“The administration is putting action behind its verbal support for school choice,” said Clint Bolick, litigation director at the Washington, DC-based Institute for Justice, which has defended the Cleveland program and other school choice programs on behalf of parents and children.

More Victories in Illinois

On June 29, the Illinois Supreme Court refused to reconsider a ruling of the Fourth District Court of Appeals, which had upheld the constitutionality of the Illinois educational expenses tax credit law.

The law was under attack from the Illinois Education Association and its allies, who argued it violated four provisions of the Illinois Constitution, two of which deal with establishment of religion. Each of the courts to hear the case, however, has emphatically rejected those arguments.

“This is the sixth consecutive court to have upheld the constitutionality of this form of school choice,” said Chip Mellor, president of the Institute for Justice, which has been defending the tax credit law in court. “Today’s Illinois Supreme Court decision should bring an end to the constitutional battle over the tax credit law and help parents get the best possible education for their children regardless of whether the school of their choice is public, private, or parochial.”

Earlier in June, the Illinois Supreme Court also refused to hear a similar challenge to the tax credit law filed by the Illinois Federation of Teachers, who also challenged the constitutionality of the tax credit law. Since the plaintiffs did not raise First Amendment claims in either of the two cases, no appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court is possible.

Possible Conflict of Interest in Florida

After the Florida Supreme Court in April declined to review an Appeals Court decision upholding the state’s use of public funds for student tuition in private schools, the case reverted to Leon County Circuit Court Judge L. Ralph Smith for consideration of the remaining issues.

However, the Institute for Justice, which is defending the voucher program, learned Smith’s son had married the daughter of a high-ranking official of the Florida Education Association, which is one of the plaintiffs. The Institute filed a motion for Smith to recuse himself, but the judge denied the recusal petition. His refusal has been appealed to the Court of Appeals.

Before Smith struck down the Opportunity Scholarship Program, the Institute for Justice had filed a recusal motion upon discovering that Smith’s son and the union’s official’s daughter were engaged. The Institute was forced to withdraw that motion when the teacher union submitted affidavits saying the daughter was not engaged to Smith’s son. Now those affidavits appear to have been false.
Edison Reports Extraordinary Gains

On June 28—the same day the local school board voted not to revoke the Edison charter school in San Francisco—Edison Schools, Inc. announced extraordinary gains on recent standardized tests at a number of its schools across the nation.

Significantly, the schools involved serve students who traditionally have not succeeded. Nearly 97 percent of the students in the schools are minority, and over 70 percent are eligible for the federal free or reduced price lunch program.

Edison Public School Academy, an urban school serving students in K-8, increased its percent satisfactory on the Michigan high-stakes assessment, MEAR, to 71.4 in fourth-grade math and 90.4 in fourth-grade reading, gains of 44 and 57 percent respectively.

Washington-Edison Elementary School in Battle Creek showed gains of 17 and 41 percent in fourth-grade math and reading respectively. These gains are especially striking since the state as a whole has not seen average fourth-grade scores increase at all over the past three years.

Montebello—Edison Elementary School in Baltimore, Maryland was taken over by the state of Maryland several years ago because of poor academic performance; it was contract-ed to Edison in fall 2000. Montebello posted some of the highest scores, as well as score gains, in the entire city. First-grade students posted median scores at the 93rd percentile in reading and at the 92nd percentile in math on the nationally normed CTBS, representing gains of 51 and 67 percent respectively. Substantial gains were made in every grade.

Edison’s New Covenant Charter School in Albany, New York—a school nearly closed by the state before Edison took over management—posted the fifth highest gains of all elementary schools in Albany County on New York’s high-stakes grade four English Language Arts exam, improving the school’s passing rate by 17 percent.

“The accomplishments of these schools indicate that the comprehensive program that Edison has been fine-tuning over the last six years in schools throughout America is living up to its full potential,” said John Chubb, Edison’s chief education officer. “With these huge gains in such a range of places, we believe we have a plan that can provide world-class results anywhere.”

Edison Schools currently manages 113 public schools with a total enrollment of approximately 57,000 students.

Deal Forced on Edison, Despite Meritorious Charges

The San Francisco School Board’s charges against the Edison Charter Academy are completely without merit, according to a report from the San Francisco-based Pacific Research Institute, released a week before the board’s vote on the disposition of the school’s charter.

Despite this, the school board used the threat of charter revocation to force the Edison company into accepting an unattractive deal, said the PRI report’s author, Policy Fellow Diallo Dphrepaulezz.

Edison was freed from district control and allowed to apply to the state for a five-year renewal of its charter, but the agreement also imposed the following conditions on the company:

- no additional Edison schools would be approved through the district;
- surrender of over $300,000 in Consent Decree funds;
- no support of any future parent or teacher petitions.

“The board’s latest move has changed the local school choice debate forever,” said Dphrepaulezz. “The traditional cast that has for years decried the plight of underachieving minorities now finds itself at odds with those same groups, particularly African-Americans and Latinos.”

In his report, The Fight to Save the Edison Charter in San Francisco, Dphrepaulezz examines the charges against Edison and finds them all without merit, including the charges that the school “counsels out” minority and special education students. He shows that students at the Edison charter school achieved dramatic improvements in test scores. From 1998 to 2000, only two of San Francisco Unified School District’s 73 elementary schools showed better test score improvements than the Edison charter.

Arguing with Success

This was not a case of a local school board deliberating on what to do about an underperforming school. On the contrary, the Edison charter school clearly had succeeded where the public school district repeatedly had failed. Off-the-cuff comments by school board members made it clear they expected Edison’s soaring test scores to continue to rise and to prompt questions about why schools operated by the district could not continue to rise and to prompt questions about why schools operated by the district could not.

Under Edison’s management, the percentage of third-graders reading at or above grade level had risen from 8 to 26 percent.

In March, the board found a way to trump the facts. It voted to give notice of its intent to revoke the Edison charter based on charges of racism, discrimination, threats to teachers, and incomplete financial reporting.

At the next meeting of the school board, hundreds of parents and children—mostly Hispanic and African-American—showed up to demand their support for the school, warning the board of the damage that closing the school would do to their children. Many of the parents testified to the board in Spanish, the only language they knew, causing some Edison opponents to say that “those people who don’t even speak English” had been “brainwashed” into thinking their children were getting a better education. This left many observers shaking their heads in disbelief at the board’s charges of racism.

The school board’s attempt to close down a highly successful school became a cause célèbre which drew interest locally, nationally, and even internationally in Britain’s The Economist.

Parents Persist . . . and Win

The board tried to ignore the expressions of support. Wynns made a show of contemptuously reading the newspaper during some of the testimony by parents, despite having won her office with a campaign promise to listen to the public. Subsequent school board meetings were scheduled in rarely used auditoriums, and meeting times were changed at the last minute—actions that seemed designed to discourage participation by parents.

But hundreds showed up at meeting after meeting, kept informed by an Internet and phone tree network set up by San Francisco education reformer Gary Larson of Parents to Save the Edison Charter. The parents’ persistence, plus the unfavorable national and international news coverage, eventually got through to the board. On June 21, a defensive Wynns was quoted in the San Francisco Chronicle as saying, “We could revoke the contract, shut down the school, and send the kids other places. But we’re not that cruel and thoughtless, even though people say we are.”

The enthusiastic support of parents in San Francisco for an Edison-managed public school stands in sharp contrast to the tepid reaction of parents in New York City to the same idea earlier this year. School choice in the Bay City is a concrete reality for parents; in the Big Apple, it’s still an abstraction.

Alan Bonsteel, M.D. is president of California Parents for Educational Choice, and the co-author, with Carlos Bonilla, Ph.D., of A Choice for Our Children: Curing the Crisis in America’s Schools (Institute for Contemporary Studies, Oakland, 1997). His email address is AlanChantal@aol.com.
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The advent of K-12 ESAs does not preclude the use of vouchers, or direct grants, to assist parents in transferring their children out of unsatisfactory schools. Indeed, by honoring the principle that parents can best choose where and how to educate their children, the new tax law could foster many school choice initiatives at the local, state, and federal levels.

Even in the House and Senate education bills, where vouchers took a battering, there was a small victory for portability—the concept that a government subsidy should follow a child out of a failing school to a better educational opportunity. The closely divided Congress was not ready to buy into vouchers, but it did approve letting poor families stuck in chronically failing Title I schools use a portion of their subsidy to purchase private tutorial services.

“From education savings accounts to private tutoring to public and charter school choice, we’ve made some important breakthroughs in recent weeks on the road to parental empowerment,” said Rep. John Boehner (R-Ohio), chairman of the House Education and the Workforce Committee.

While advocates impatient for universal school choice may deride ESAs and tutoring portability as baby steps, every parent knows that the child who took a few tentative steps yesterday is running family-room obstacle courses today.
"Significant Challenges" for Public School System

Concerned with the prospect of suddenly having to absorb and educate a large number of students, Milwaukee Public Schools board member Kenneth Johnson requested a staff report detailing how MPS would respond to the worst-case scenario of educating an additional 10,000 students. The report indicated such a scenario could give MPS a $47.5 million budget deficit next year. Superintendent Spence Korte said absorbing that number of students "would cause significant challenges."

"I don't think anyone denies that if MPS had to absorb even 2,000, much less 5,000, 6,000, or 10,000 kids next year, we would be in disastrous shape for space, money, and class size reduction," school board member John Gardner told the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel.

How Much Should the Voucher Be?

Arguments for reducing the amount of the voucher currently revolve around the fact that choice schools receive more money for a voucher student than a regular student pays in tuition. That's because tuition often is held below actual costs to enhance affordability; private schools make up the gap with donations and subsidies. By contrast, the voucher amount for a particular school is determined by the lower of $5,326 or the private school's operating and debt service cost per student.

Critics argue the schools should get only the amount of the tuition, since it is that amount—not the total operating and debt service cost—that benefits parents. Supporters of the higher voucher amount note private schools are unlikely to secure the required additional funds in other ways, since the burden of subsidies is a major reason for the closing of many parochial schools around the nation in recent years. (See "Catholic Schools Losing Ground," School Reform News, April 2001).

The Democrat attack on school choice began in May, when State Senator Russ Decker (D-Schofield) proposed slashing the amount of the Milwaukee voucher within two years to $1,000 for elementary students and $1,500 for high school students. With these lower voucher values, parents would be allowed to supplement the vouchers with their own money.

Decker's stated preference was to end the program completely, but he did not think such a bill would pass. In fact, even his "compromise" proposal did not pass the Joint Finance Committee, which rejected the plan by an 11-5 vote.

Senate Proposes to Halve Voucher Payments

In early June, choice supporters—including former MPS superintendent Fuller, Milwaukee Mayor John O. Neuschat, Archbishop Rembert G. Weakland, and three MPS board members—sent a letter to legislators urging them to consider "the irrefutable evidence that school choice produces positive results at a very affordable cost."

Democrats responded with another bomb on June 13, when they launched Chvala's proposal to halve voucher payments. Parent Carmellett McVicker was one of the stung choice parents.

"I don't know what the motive is behind [the Democrats' action]," McVicker told the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel, "but it couldn't be one with the children in mind."

GOP Governor Scott McCallum questioned why anyone in the legislature would get in the way of parents and teachers trying to get a better education for their children.

Democrats also questioned the wisdom of the Senate's action. Rep. Antonio Riley, a strong choice advocate from Milwaukee, suggested it could lead to "a lot of disaffection with the Democratic Party."

The Senate Democrats' plan included the following provisions:

- limit state aid for choice students to $2,776 in 2001-02;
- cap the number of choice students at 10,580;
- require choice schools to adopt non-discrimination policies and to give their students the state third-grade reading test.

Fuller and other choice advocates have called for an independent evaluation of the choice program through the state's Legislative Audit Bureau, including the application of state standardized tests but not the release of individual school results.

Preparing the Financial Package for Potential Lenders

BY MARK HOWARD

When the charter school is ready to look for financing, the first item required is a financing package, used by lenders as a tool to evaluate their potential loan.

The financing package is made up of several sections, including the story of your charter school, financing history or projections, security or collateral for the loan, equity or the capital required to make the loan, conditions of the local market for charter schools, and the role of the charter authority.

The Story of Your School

Every charter school is formed for a specific purpose. Existing charter schools have the ability to show their history of service to the community and the students of that community. Newly formed or potential charter schools are required to identify the specific education mission of their school and the programs to be employed in fulfilling that mission. Lenders are interested in these stories since they form the basis of the relationship between the lender and the school.

The makeup of the board of directors also makes an important contribution to the school's story. The board should consist of parents, educators, influential representatives of the community at large, and business professionals—who are often the local business owners. If these business professionals are not available, consideration should be given to outsourcing these responsibilities to one of the growing number of education management organizations, preferably one already operating in your area.

Security or Collateral

In any business loan, the lender is most interested in preservation of capital. Consequently, the lender will look for items that can be used as security for the loan. The real estate, which would include the land and the building, is one of these items. The lender also would look to the furniture, fixtures, and equipment purchased by the school as additional collateral.

The security of the loan also is enhanced by guarantees from individual board members, the charter sponsor, the state, or some other government agency. Such enhancements provide the lender with a greater level of comfort regarding repayment of the loan and may result in a lower interest rate on the loan. In some instances, the lender might ask to receive payments directly from the sponsor or the state.

Equity and Capital Requirements

As well as security or collateral, the lender also may require that the school make a cash contribution toward the cost of developing or renovating the school site. This not only reduces the size of the loan but also demonstrates the faith of the founders in their education vision.

For existing schools, evidence of retained earnings and the use of these earnings as a reserve fund for future loan payments helps lower the interest rate on the loan and improves the lender's inclination to make the loan.

Local Market Conditions and Role of Charter Authority

Local political support for charter schools is important to the lending institution reviewing a loan package. For example, lenders would consider loans less risky in states where there are numerous charter schools. Other factors that affect the willingness of lenders to make a loan are the current trend in charter school enrollment; the relationship between local public schools and charter schools; the identity of the sponsor/authorizer; and the planned role of local educational management organizations in the project.

Mark Howard has specialized in the development of commercial properties since 1980. He owns and operates M.H. Realty Associates, Inc., in Tamucco, Florida. Readers with questions on facilities and finances are encouraged to contact him directly at mgfl@aol.com. The most frequent questions about common problems will be included in future columns.

Assembly Responds with Plan to Increase Choice

Three days after Democrats approved their plan in the Senate, the Republican-controlled Assembly on June 22 approved a plan to increase school choice options for parents in Milwaukee. Although an attempt to create voucher programs in Madison, Racine, Kenosha, and Beloit was defeated, the Assembly plan included the following measures for expanding the Milwaukee program:

- lift the 15 percent cap and allow an unlimited number of children to participate;
- raise the family income limit to no more than 185 percent of the poverty level from the current 175 percent;
- once in the program, families could not be dropped later if their incomes went above the limit;
- private schools throughout Milwaukee County could accept voucher students, not just private schools in the city.

Senate and Assembly proposals will be reconciled in conference in July.
Big Hike Approved for GI Bill Vouchers

No opposition to school choice at college level

By Mike Antonucci

n June, the Texas State Teachers Association (TSTA), affiliated with the National Education Association, announced exploratory efforts to merge with the Texas Federation of Teachers (TFT), affiliated with the American Federation of Teachers.

The merger negotiations are part of an effort by TSTA to reverse its sagging financial and membership fortunes. However, TFT's plans are meeting resistance from the other teacher union and from its own staff union.

Earlier this year, the Education Intelligence Agency reported that TSTA would be facing a $1 million budget deficit for next year, and that NEA had extended the union a $3 million loan, payable over the next 10 years. In response to these budget troubles, TSTA officials developed a restructuring plan. In an internal memo to local leaders, TSTA President Ignacio Salinas Jr. and Executive Director E.C. Walker explained, "After years of spending more than we were collecting in dues, it was obvious that we could not survive if we did not change our ways."

How did TFT reach this precipice? Dues and membership numbers.

Membership Plummeted

Subsidized by NEA, TSTA reduced state dues for new members to $99 (later, $149) in an effort to build numbers. They were successful in signing up members at a loss, but are having grave difficulties holding on to them at the regular rate of $329. TSTA's membership is currently 88,500, and that's only if you include its 14,000 life members, whose dues have already been paid and so bring in no new money.

TSTA's spring membership drive apparently has produced fewer than 300 new members statewide. All told, TSTA's membership is down 1,500 from last year, and would be worse if not for a 700-member increase in Austin, where TSTA and TFT locals merged in 1999.

With TFT's statewide membership at 34,000, even the combined membership of TFT and TSTA would not approach that of the independent Association of Texas Professional Educators, a non-union education association that last year celebrated passing the 100,000-member mark.

A fourth organization, the independent Texas Classroom Teachers Association, also has more than 40,000 members.

Rank-and-File Oppose Merger

At TFT's annual convention in late June, the union's leadership proposed a resolution to authorize them to pursue the merger agreement with TSTA. The resolution was approved, but not before it was amended by delegates to specify 14 prerequisites for any state merger agreement, including a guarantee that at least two-thirds of the membership of the new organization affiliate with the AFL-CIO. The list appears designed to stop the merger before it even begins.

TSTA's difficulties don't end there. Included in the union's plan to balance the budget is a shutdown of all satellite offices around the state, relocation of all staff members to Austin, and a staff reduction of up to 20 percent. Many staffers will now find themselves on the road three to four nights per week. In addition, staff pay hikes are highly unlikely and TSTA is expecting the staff to accept cuts in their fully paid health insurance benefits.

Union Staff Vote to Sanction Affiliate

Employees of teachers' unions are themselves members of unions, and the umbrella group for all the staff unions of NEA state affiliates is the National Staff Organization (NSO). At a national meeting of these state staff unions in June, the assembled delegates passed New Business Item 05-01, which mandates that "The NSO takes sanctions against the Texas State Teachers Association."

The sanctions were deliberately left unspecified, but whatever endavors TSTA plans next, it can expect little or no staff support from other NEA state affiliates.

Mike Antonucci is director of the Education Intelligence Agency, an organization that conducts public education research, analysis, and investigations. His weekly Communiqué is available at http://members.aol.com/educintel/eia or from EducIntel@aol.com.

Nearly 1 in 5 Union Members Are School Workers

Education industry more heavily unionized than manufacturing or construction

Workers in elementary and secondary schools in 2000 accounted for the largest share of union members of any sector in the U.S. economy—over 19 percent—according to Heritage Foundation calculations from the Census Bureau's March 2000 Current Population Survey.

Although the transportation and public utilities sector frequently is regarded as the most heavily unionized, only 24 percent of its workers are union members. The most heavily unionized sector is K-12 schools, where 42 percent of workers carry union cards.

By comparison, just 18 percent of workers in the construction industry are union members, less than 15 percent in manufacturing, and only 9 percent of all private wage and salary workers.

The numbers on teacher union membership are even more startling when viewed by occupation: 67 percent of special education teachers are union members, 59 percent of secondary school teachers, and over 46 percent of elementary school teachers. This group of teachers accounts for over 12 percent of all union members—the highest for any single occupation.

Workers in service occupations make up 13 percent of all union members; workers in all professional specialty occupations account for 19 percent; operators, fabricators, and laborers make up 20 percent; and precision production, craft, and repair occupations account for 22 percent.
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Bilingual Education Update
BY DON SOIFER

By a wide margin (384-45), the U.S. House of Representatives approved sweeping changes to federal bilingual education programs as part of H.R. 1, the legislative vehicle for President George W. Bush's "No Child Left Behind" education plan.

Whether these reforms ultimately become law will depend upon negotiations made in conference between House and Senate legislators. That's because the Senate bill makes one important funding change but essentially maintains the status quo at a much higher spending level, the result of an amendment introduced by Senator Blanche Lincoln (D-Arkansas).

"The [House] plan gives the local school districts the ability to make decisions as to how they are going to teach English as rapidly as possible," observed Rep. Michael Castle (R-Delaware), chairman of the House Subcommittee on Education Reform. But he pointed out the plan also demanded accountability, so that if districts found their approaches were not working, "they are going to have to go on to the next experiment."

The following are the major bilingual education programs that passed the House of Representatives, which also approved a significant funding increase for programs for English learners, but under a new funding formula:

States Choose Best Approach
States would choose the approach best suited to the needs of their English learners. Currently, three-fourths of federal bilingual funds are reserved for non-English, native language instruction. Although the House of Representatives has passed legislation eliminating this requirement in each of the past two sessions, it still remains the law. Both House and Senate bills eliminate this restriction.

The House plan would provide funding in the form of formula grants to states to allocate as they see fit, while the Senate plan would retain the current competitive-grant process.

Representative Jeff Flake (R-Arizona), who sponsored the original version of this measure, said school districts and parents know the bilingual education programs "simply aren't working," but the federal bias favoring these programs "forces school districts . . . to engage in programs that they simply wouldn't do otherwise."

State Performance Objectives
States receiving the formula grants would develop their own performance objectives for improving English fluency but also would have to demonstrate "adequate yearly progress" in transitioning English learners to proficiency in English. English learners would have to be tested in English after three consecutive years in U.S. schools.

Parental Consent
Parental consent for placement in bilingual education would be required. The House reforms would require school officials to make a "reasonable and substantial" effort to obtain the consent of parents before placing children in bilingual classrooms.

It has been well documented in Congressional hearings that many children are routinely placed in bilingual education programs without their parents' permission under the status quo.

Dollars to the Classroom
The House plan mandates that 95 percent of available funds be used by recipients to provide assistance to English learners. The remaining 5 percent could be applied towards a wide range of allowable uses, including professional development and travel by teachers and administrators to the annual National Conference of Bilingual Educators. Administrative costs would be limited to 2 percent.

“By a wide margin (384-45), the U.S. House of Representatives approved sweeping changes to federal bilingual education programs…”

Commission Hears Bilingual Education Advocates

The U.S. Commission on Civil Rights examined bilingual education programs at a Washington, DC hearing in April, but largely heard testimony from leading advocates of bilingual education, such as Stanford University Professor of Education Kenji Hakuta and David Ramirez, director of the Center for Language Minority Education and Research.

"The research is quite clear that, all things being equal, there are advantages of bilingual education over English-only instruction," said Hakuta, presenting his own research findings. He reported it takes students between four and seven years to learn English, depending on factors such as socioeconomic status, the age at which they enter U.S. schools, and the level of education they obtained prior to U.S. immigration. He also testified about the importance of maintaining a consistent educational approach for English learners.

Responding to Hakuta's testimony, Commissioner Abigail Thernstrom pointed out that English learners in California had made significant improvements when they were moved out of bilingual education and into mainstream English classrooms following that state's 1998 passage of Proposition 227. Second-grade English learners improved their standardized test scores by 9 percentile points in reading and 14 percentile points in math in the two years immediately following the new California law.

Commissioner Russell Redenbaugh also pointed out the plan's leanings on bilingual education.

"The Commission's refusal to acknowledge the need for reform is a step backwards, to the detriment of the country's 4 million English learners," said Redenbaugh. "Certainly, a lack of English proficiency is an enormous tax on one's future income potential."

Reynolds Nominated to Head DOE's OCR

In a step with important implications for bilingual education, President George W. Bush in June announced the nomination of Gerald Reynolds as director of the U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights.

Reynolds was formerly president and legal counsel for the Center for New Black Leadership, a group opposed to affirmative action. The nomination immediately was criticized by a number of liberal advocacy groups, including People for the American Way and the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund.

The Office for Civil Rights has come under heavy criticism in recent years for its aggressive role in encouraging school districts to adopt bilingual education, rather than presenting an official neutral stand on the issue. A February 2001 study by the General Accounting Office found that nearly one in five school districts that had worked with OCR reported attempts to influence them towards bilingual education. In the office's Region 11, headquartered in San Francisco and home to 41 percent of the nation's English learners, 35 percent of school districts reported experiencing OCR bias towards bilingual education.
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School Choice and the New Philanthropy

Philanthropy serves as a defining mark of each era. The captains of industry from the Industrial Revolution, for instance, left behind huge endowments for the arts, greatly expanded colleges and universities, and created foundations to pursue the furtherance of almost every human endeavor.

By the early 1990s, commentators had begun to wonder publicly about what the philanthropy of our generation would consist of, what unique contribution those living today could add to the rich legacy of the past. Some openly challenged today’s philanthropists to be creative with their generosity, to demand results with their gifts, and to do things not done before. Having new buildings at colleges named for you, the thinking went, had been done. The time had come for a new generation of philanthropists to improve upon the past with innovation and creativity.

A fantastic new trend in private charitable giving—privately funded school choice programs—arose at this time, almost as if to fulfill the need for leadership in philanthropy. Since the creation of the first private voucher program in 1991, the nation has witnessed a proliferation of such charitable groups. The reason is clear—nothing is more important to the lives of children than education, and allowing parents the opportunity to choose the best school for their child dramatically improves student learning. There are now over 100 privately financed voucher programs, which have collectively invested over half a billion dollars toward the education of over 100,000 children.

Private voucher programs have succeeded in putting a human face on what seemed like an abstract idea by positively impacting the lives of thousands of children. Private voucher programs are periodically surveyed in order to track the progress of the movement. Recently, Children First America in cooperation with the Children Scholarship Fund, released Just Doing It 5, which you can read in its entirety at www.childrenfirstamerica.org. In the following pages, we will present an Executive Summary of the information from our surveyed programs, outline the growing trend toward tax credit supported private voucher programs, and outline the progress of Horizon—the nation’s largest private voucher program.
A Rising Tide: Survey Reveals Trends in the Private Choice Movement

Children First America's survey of private voucher programs found a strong and growing movement. The strength of the choice movement is demonstrated both by the increasing number of programs and the increasing number of families making applications. The total number of private voucher programs is presented in Figure 1 below:

Children First America surveyed 33 of the 108 Private Voucher Programs around the country. The Private Voucher Programs in our survey sample demonstrated vigorous growth in the number of applications received in 1999, an increase of 157% when compared with 1997. Sampled programs had a total funding of $33,407,773 in 1999, with an average total funding of approximately $726,000 per program, although program budgets ranged widely, from as low as $33,000 to as high as $3,750,000.

Programs in our sample serve 32,238 students, with an average program size of 716 and an average scholarship size of $1150. This represents an increase of 28% from the 1997 average scholarship of $898. The ratio of applicants to winners for our programs was approximately 5 to 1. Despite the fact that these programs typically spend little to no money on promotion, that only low-income families are eligible for scholarships, and that participating families are still called upon to make great financial sacrifices to afford private schools, demand far exceeds supply for Private Voucher Program vouchers.

In short, privately financed voucher programs have continued to expand the number of students aided, while increasing the average aid provided to students. Private voucher programs demonstrate the demand for choice in education among low-income American families.
Arizona House Bill 2074, the School Tuition Organization Tax Credit, was signed into law on April 7, 1997. The bill created a dollar-for-dollar personal income tax credit for contributions up to $200 per household for donations to public schools for extracurricular activities, and up to $500 for donations to school tuition organizations providing scholarships to students to attend private schools. Proposition 301, a ballot referendum adopted by Arizona voters in November 2000, increased the tuition tax credit amount to $650 per household. The new law went into effect in May 2001.

On January 26, 1999 the Arizona Supreme Court upheld the tuition tax credit law in a 3-2 ruling. The decision cited the Wisconsin Supreme Court's ruling on the Milwaukee choice program (Jackson v. Benson) and the United States Supreme Court's ruling in Mueller v. Allen (1983), which upheld tax deductions for school expenses. In Mueller v. Allen, the court ruled that the tax deductions have a secular purpose, do not have a primary effect of advancing religion, and do not create excessive church-state entanglement thus satisfying the test presented in Lemon v. Kurtzman (1971).

Since the successful defense of the Tax Credit program, a large number of private voucher funding organizations, known as Student Tuition Organizations, have arisen to help fund private school tuition. School Tuition Organization Tax Credit organizations have directed aid almost exclusively to low-income students, and the number of scholarships has increased each year.

Imitation is the most sincere form of flattery, so school choice advocates in Arizona should feel proud. At the time of this writing in June of 2001, both Florida and Pennsylvania had enacted an Arizona-inspired tax credit, while similar bills passed both the Colorado and the South Carolina Houses.

Similar bills were considered in a number of states. The level of difference an Arizona type tax credit can make to a private voucher program is demonstrated by the fundraising experience of the Arizona School Choice Trust, presented in Figure 2. The Arizona School Choice Trust is a private voucher program predating the tax credit program. The effect of the tax credit on the fundraising success after the settlement of the lawsuit was immediate and dramatic.

A large and diverse group of School Tuition Organizations have formed to fund the education of children. Both the total number of tuition vouchers and their average value have expanded in each year of the program. The average amount of aid per student has increased from $452 in 1998 to $772 in the year 2000, as seen in Figure 3.

Before Arizona voters increased the size of the credit, Arizona’s then Superintendent of Public Instruction, Lisa Graham Keegan, estimated that tax credit donations would reach around $30,000,000 per year. The 2000 figures of 11,328 private scholarships imply that approximately 25% of Arizona private school students benefit from the program. While the number of students aided will continue to expand in the future, the Arizona program was already the largest choice program in the nation in terms of students aided.

Analysts predict that the Arizona program will continue to expand in coming years, both in terms of funds invested and the number of voucher granting programs. As additional states pass tax credit legislation, additional private tuition support organizations can be expected to flourish, and existing programs should be able to raise additional funds and help more parents choose the best school for their children.
Horizon Disproves School Choice Myths

Defenders of the education status-quo claim that choice programs will “drain public schools of badly needed funds” leading to the “destruction of public education.” If the fears of choice opponents have any basis in reality, we should find evidence of such terrible outcomes in the Edgewood Independent School District (EISD) in San Antonio. The CEO Horizon Program has provided the option of a school voucher to almost the entire population of the Edgewood district starting in 1998.

The Horizon program is the only school choice program devoted to an entire school district. The program has no academic qualifying criteria, and is open to all permanent residents of EISD currently attending a public school, unless entering kindergarten.

Enrollment in EISD declined from 14,142 students during the 1997-98 school year to 12,500 students in the 2000-01 school year, although several factors besides Horizon impacted total enrollment.

Renaissance or Destruction in Edgewood?

How has EISD fared since the Horizon program began? Some claim that the program has harmed the district.

For instance, the Dallas Morning News ran the following quote from Edgewood Superintendent Noe Sauceda: “We estimate the CEO program has cost the district $5 million over the past few years...with that kind of decrease, we can’t attract and retain quality staff.” It must be noted, however, that while Edgewood total funding is lower than it would have been without Horizon, it also has fewer students to educate as a result of the program, and loses none of the local funding despite enrollment fluctuations. Average teacher pay was $4994 per year higher in 1999-2000 than in 1997-98, and the average number of pupils per teacher fell from 14.8 to 13.6 during this same period.

Total expenditures by the district have increased since the advent of the Horizon program. Rather than decreasing its spending, the Edgewood district has continued to spend more money each year since the advent of the Horizon program, despite a substantially lower enrollment. Figure 1 shows that total Edgewood spending increased after the advent of Horizon.

Total district expenditure in 1997-98 was $85,695,522 (with 14,142 students) but was $88,505,665 in the 1999-2000 school year, with 12,982 students enrolled. Best of all, the Texas Education Agency awarded EISD with a “Recognized” status in the 2000 accountability rankings for the first time, due to improvements in passing rates on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) tests.

In short, Edgewood schools have improved their standardized test scores, have fewer pupils per teacher, and have higher teacher salaries than before the Horizon program created competition for students. This is an odd way to “destroy” public education, to say the least. Predictions of doom seem completely fanciful when the data are examined closely. Further adjustments and challenges surely lie ahead for the district, but as of now, the district seems to be in the early stages of a renaissance, rather than sliding down the slippery slope to destruction.
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**Curriculum and Assessment Tools Go Wireless**

Mindsurf Networks, Inc., a provider of wireless computing solutions for the K-12 market, announced on May 31 that it will acquire Discourse Technologies, Inc., a developer of education application software for the K-12 market. Under the terms of the agreement, Mindsurf will integrate the Discourse software with its solution to provide teachers with online, real-time curriculum and assessment tools.

www.mindsurfnetworks.com / www.discourse.com

**Edison Schools to Acquire LearnNow**

Edison Schools, Inc. announced on June 4 that it will acquire LearnNow, Inc., an education management company. Through the transaction, Edison is expected to gain 11 schools, 5,000 students, and $38 million in revenues in the coming school year.

www.edisonschools.com

**IBM and Riverdeep Forge K-12 Alliance**

On June 5, IBM Corporation and Riverdeep Group, an e-learning provider for the K-12 market, announced a strategic alliance in which the two companies will jointly promote, market, sell, and service each other’s content offerings. Riverdeep also will integrate IBM technologies into its product offerings and assume overall development responsibility for the IBM Learning Village.

www.ibm.com / www.riverdeep.com

**Parents Support Competition in Education**

A study released by Parents In Charge, a nonprofit organization that encourages debate on U.S. education, found that people of all economic, racial, and religious backgrounds are dissatisfied with pre-K-12 education in the U.S. The report, *American Attitudes on Education*, showed 82 percent of those surveyed believe that parents, not the government, should be in charge of their children’s education, and nearly three-quarters believe competition would improve education in the U.S.

www.parentsincharge.org

**Professional Development for Educators**

McGraw-Hill Education, a provider of pre-K-12 educational materials, announced the launch of Educators’ Professional Development/McGraw-Hill, a new company specializing in professional development for teachers and school administrators. EPD/McGraw-Hill will develop integrated online and onsite teacher training programs, aligned with state and national content standards, that can be used with all of a state’s adopted textbooks.

www.mcgraw-hill.com

**School Internet Capability Up 77 Percent**

A survey conducted by the U.S. Department of Education’s National Center for Education Statistics found that an estimated 77 percent of U.S. public school classroom computers were equipped with Internet access last year, up from 64 percent in 1999. One of the reasons for the growth is the U.S. government’s E-rate program, which has committed $5.8 billion to E-rate applicants since February. The program makes services, Internet access, and internal connections available to schools and libraries at discounted rates.

nces.ed.gov

**Vivendi to Acquire Houghton Mifflin**

Vivendi Universal, a media and communications company, announced on June 1 that it will acquire Houghton Mifflin Company, an education publisher, in a transaction valued at $2.2 billion. The acquisition will make Vivendi the second largest publisher in the world, with annual sales of $2.2 billion.

www.vivendi.com / www.houghtonmifflin.com

**WRC Media Partners with ThinkBox**

On May 23, WRC Media, Inc., an education publisher for the K-12 market, and ThinkBox, Inc., a creator of Internet-delivered education programs for the K-12 and home markets, announced a partnership in which WRC Media will license its Weekly Reader brand names to ThinkBox. In return, WRC Media will make a strategic investment in ThinkBox and acquire the distribution rights for ThinkBox’s school programs.

www.thinkbox.com / www.wrcmedia.com

**The Education Economy is read by leading education company executives, investors, academics, policy experts and education leaders around the globe. To subscribe to The Education Economy, register online at www.eduventures.com. Eduventures.com may be reached at 20 Park Plaza, Suite 833, Boston, MA 02116, 617/426-5622, fax 617/426-5431.**

---

### In Memoriam

James P. Boyle, the educator-entrepreneur who founded Ombudsman Educational Services in 1975 and became a leader and role model for the emerging education services industry in the 1990s, died on May 25, 2001, at the age of 68.

Boyle developed an individualized learning model that enabled students to progress at their own pace while also making sure they had mastered the material. He demonstrated to other education entrepreneurs that a successful educational model could be replicated commercially without losing the integrity of the learning environment.

He is survived by his wife, Loretta Sweeney, the co-founder of Ombudsman; his children Greg Boyle, Megan Chody, Patricia Sweeney, and Sue Datin; and grandchildren Matthew, Jack, Hannah, Elie, and Brett.

---

### Conference: The Education Economy Forum 2001

**October 15-16, 2001**

This conference brings together leading executives, investors, and analysts to address top issues in the pre-K-12, postsecondary, and corporate learning markets: Which business models are proving effective? What will it take for more firms to reach profitability? How will market consolidation affect industry maturation?

In 1999 and 2000, industry investors poured more than $5.5 billion into education businesses. Eduventures.com analysts will review what these investments have yielded to date.

For more information, contact Ana Troncoso at 617/426-5622 ext.43, or visit [www.eduventures.com/events/events-home.cfm](http://www.eduventures.com/events/events-home.cfm).
AN INTERVIEW WITH JOHN TAYLOR GATTO
BY GEORGE A. CLOWES

John Taylor Gatto was an award-winning junior high school teacher for 30 years in Manhattan’s public schools. He gave it all up abruptly in 1991, resigning very publicly on the op-ed page of the Wall Street Journal. He said he could no longer remain in a system that trained children merely to obey orders, where curiosity was stamped out, and where attempts at reform were fruitless because “[s]chool is too vital a jobs-project, contract-giver, and protector of the social order to allow itself to be reformed.”

Born in Monongahela, Pennsylvania, Gatto attended public schools and a private Catholic boarding school, all in western Pennsylvania. He did undergraduate college work at Cornell, the University of Pittsburgh, and Columbia, then served in the U.S. Army medical corps at Fort Knox, Kentucky and Fort Sam Houston, Texas. Following army service he did graduate work at the City University of New York, Hunter College, Yeshiva, the University of California, and Cornell.

After college, Gatto worked as a film scriptwriter, advertising copywriter, songwriter, taxi driver, jewelry designer, and dog vendor before becoming a schoolteacher. His prowess as teacher earned him numerous awards from a wide range of organizations, including the New York State Education Department, Encyclopedia Britannica, the Council of Chief State School Officers, the New York State Senate, the National Association of Secondary School Principals, and the New York Public School Alliance.

Gatto climaxed his teaching career as 1990 and 1991 New York State Teacher of the Year for not being able to read well. He taught to read at school, but only a fraction that you weren’t allowed to enter first grade—changing classes at short intervals is a drill to prepare them for life-long tutelage, cradle-to-grave schooling to make any sense of their days. They’re not taught to have an independent livelihood.

Here’s how schools pull the trick off: They destroy the inner life. They do this by training poorly in history, philosophy, economics, literature, poetry, theology, music, art—anything that is known to develop a personal inner life. As a result, most people who have been through government schools need life-long sale while it provides for the world’s most reliable domestic economy. That was in the original design of the system back at the turn of the twentieth century, and it has achieved those purposes perfectly.

“I believe that every teacher should realize the dignity of his calling: that he is a social servant set apart for the maintenance of proper social order and the securing of the right social growth. I believe that in this way the teacher always is the prophet of the true God and the usherer in of the true kingdom of God.”

John Dewey, Pedagogic Creed statement of 1897

“Government schooling is the most radical adventure in history. It kills the family by monopolizing the best times of childhood and by teaching disrespect for home and parents . . .

“Good schools don’t need more money or a longer year; they need real free-market choices, variety that speaks to every need and runs risks. We don’t need a national curriculum or national testing either.”


CLOWES: From your perspective as a teacher for 30 years, what do you see government schools doing to children?

GATTO: People who are well-schooled in government schools have a low threshold of boredom. They need constant novelty to feel alive because they have only the flimsiest inner lives. They can’t sit still without their minds wandering off. Changing classes at short intervals is a drill to prepare them for changing associates and possessions in dizzying profusion.

It used to be common in American schools that you weren’t allowed to enter first grade unless you could read. Now most children are taught to read at school, but only a fraction escape able to read well—in a world in which, for the most part, people are penalized harshly for not being able to read well.

CLOWES: What can be done to fix the public schools?

GATTO: There is no way to fix them. That’s the point of my latest book, which took me eight years to write. It’s an enormous system where no individual has very much influence, and the system has built-in protections against change. Even the current standards movement is certain to be only rhetorically realized because the system has its own structural logic, and that does not include excellence. To say that you’re going to produce high standards by putting money, or pressure, or tests at fourth through eighth grade—that is Pollyanna nonsense.

All systems are the same regardless of what particular ideology drives them. The integrity of the system is considerably more important to the system than the mission it nominally holds. The American education system is a Soviet-style system, and just because we live in the United States is no guarantee that it’s not a Soviet system. It destroys people wholesale while it provides for the world’s most reliable domestic economy. That was in the original design of the system back at the turn of the twentieth century, and it has achieved those purposes perfectly.

“All systems are the same regardless of what particular ideology drives them. The integrity of the system is considerably more important to the system than the mission it nominally holds.”

CLOWES: What were those original purposes of the public education system?

GATTO: I’m about to give you the six purposes of government schooling. I didn’t invent them; they’re straight out of the mouth of the man for whom the honorary Lectureship in Secondary Education at Harvard University is named: Alexander James Inglis. He was Harvard’s first Professor of Secondary Education, and in 1918 he published a book called The Principles of Secondary Education. It took me a long time to find his book but I finally located a copy.

I came across Inglis’s name in a 1949 book by James Bryan Conant called The Child, the Parent, and the State, where Conant said flatly that American schooling was the result of a coup, and the best person to go to for the details of the coup was Alexander Inglis. Now, Conant had some fairly good bona fides—he was president of Harvard, High Commissioner of Germany after the second World War, and the father of the large, comprehensive high school—and so if he said that the system is the result of a coup, I think we can be sure that he wasn’t speaking metaphorically.

Inglis also was the editor of Houghton Mifflin’s Secondary School Publishing Division, and so he decided what people who were entering the new field of secondary education were going to read at the teacher college level. I say “new field of secondary education” because right up to the first World War, an elementary school education was what the vast majority of the American population got. Inglis’s book turned out to be 800 pages of tiny print. I spent about a week working my way through it and it was just dull as dishwater until suddenly I realized that what he was describing was schools as they are today, not schools as they were in 1918, because they didn’t exist then. So this very, very dull book is a blueprint for today’s schools. And here’s what Inglis said were the six purposes of this new type of schooling.

Inglis said that the first function of schooling is adjutative, where “[s]chools are to establish fixed habits of reaction to authority.” I remember that from my military training. Fixed habits of reaction completely preclude critical judgement—you can’t have critical judgement and fixed habits of reaction.

The second purpose of secondary schools is the diagnostic function. School is to diagnose each student’s proper social role, logging the evidence mathematically and anecdotal on cumulative records for life-long inspection. The communist Chinese have been doing...
this from the beginning, and they call this kind of official file the Dangos.

The third purpose of school is the sorting function. School is to sort children by training individuals only so far as their likely designation in the social machine, and not one step beyond. Now, many teachers run smack into this when they make the uncomfortable discovery that their supposedly “stupid” children are capable of the same kind of work as their “bright” children. But when they essay some efforts in that direction, they’re slapped down by people who say, “This is child abuse, because these stupid kids can’t possibly learn that material.”

CLOWES: It sounds like Tom Daschle and Bob Chase, who say “You can’t demand more from these children unless you give schools more resources.”

GATTO: I had no resources and I demanded of my students exactly what was demanded of me at Cornell and Columbia. I didn’t modify my language or my expectations. I expected college-level performance, and I got it much more often than I didn’t. I’m not saying that it wasn’t without a lot of grief and argument, but most of the grief and argument was with the school administration and with my fellow teachers. Because when you get students to understand what they’re capable of, they start asking questions in classes that have been dumbed down. “Why are you treating us like this?” they ask, “We’re not stupid.”

In that context, it’s interesting to note that in the Presidential election of 2000, four of the six final candidates were from private boarding schools that had a collective graduating class yearly of under 2,000 people: Al Gore, George Bush, Steve Forbes, and John McCain.

If you’re a Darwinian, the explanation is simple natural selection. On the other hand, if you’re trying to decipher some of the anomalies of systematic schooling, it’s wonderfully enlightening to look at the techniques that the nation’s top private boarding schools employ. The most amazing thing is that what these schools require of their student body doesn’t cost a penny. In other words, it wouldn’t cost anything to create identical consciousness for everybody.

When I lecture homeschooling groups, I suggest they select one or all of the techniques that are common to these schools. They’re simple, cost-free, time-tested, and they work. My personal bias is towards the classical schooling technique because the little bit of what I had stuck with me and served me very, very well. But these techniques have been understood for a long time by people who wanted to do the best for themselves and their children. And the secret of all the successes I won as a school teacher was that I adopted those procedures.

CLOWES: So you set the same high expectations for everyone?

GATTO: It’s more than that. Although I had gone to a Jesuit boarding school for one year in third grade, I didn’t have the same kind of intimacy with the techniques these private schools use, except from reading about them. But I did have some awareness of how two Ivy League colleges functioned—Cornell and Columbia—and I said, “I will simply employ these procedures that were used on me and see what happens.”

What happened was a revelation of what’s possible. I certainly don’t attribute it to any personal magnetism on my part. But I took the boot off my students’ necks. Even though I come from a conservative background, I had too much evidence in front of me that what you and I call talent or even genius is as common as the air we breathe.

To develop that talent or genius, each child needs a tremendous amount of raw experience and a tremendous amount of responsibility. Think of young Ben Franklin, young Coke Stevenson, or young John D. Rockefeller as examples of what that can do. The child also needs an active inner life in order to be master of his or her own soul or spirit, and that inner life comes from studying history, philosophy, economics, literature, music, art, and theology.

It’s significant that when the British owned North America, they took steps to prevent the development of the active literacies of writing and public speaking in the colonial population. If you can read well, and fluently, you can get access to the best minds that ever lived. But you can’t change things unless you can convince others, and you do that only by writing and speaking. The British knew they could handle the odd fish that swam with a copy of Plato under its fin, but what they couldn’t handle were people who spoke like Demosthenes or wrote like Shakespeare.

The third reason was to learn the ways of the human heart so well that you can neither be cheated nor fooled. Well, the age-old way to handle the odd fish was people who spoke like Demosthenes or wrote like Shakespeare.

The third reason was to learn the ways of the human heart so well that you can neither be cheated nor fooled. Well, the age-old way to handle the odd fish was people who spoke like Demosthenes or wrote like Shakespeare.

GATTO: Right. I would absolutely concentrate on the rhetoric and debate stages of classical education. Incidentally, Jefferson said there were only five reasons that could theoretically justify a forced schooling system. He said one was to learn your rights. But the second reason is that it’s useless to know your rights unless you also learn how to defend your rights. Take that package as Siamese twins.

The third reason was to learn the ways of the human heart so well that you can neither be cheated nor fooled. Well, the age-old way to handle the odd fish was people who spoke like Demosthenes or wrote like Shakespeare.

The third reason was to learn the ways of the human heart so well that you can neither be cheated nor fooled. Well, the age-old way to handle the odd fish was people who spoke like Demosthenes or wrote like Shakespeare.

John Taylor Gatto’s new book may be ordered from his Web site at www.johntaylorgatto.com. Orders that mention School Reform News will receive a complementary cassette tape of one of Gatto’s lectures, valued at $8.00.
Confidential Records Found in Trash

Although California state law requires that outdated confidential school records be destroyed by burning or shredding, dozens of files containing sensitive information about schoolchildren were found in a playground trash can at Northridge Elementary School in Fair Oaks. The files contained records of behavioral problems, parent-teacher correspondence, observations of family problems, and reports of suspected health and emotional problems, including suspected sexual abuse.

Officials with the San Juan Unified School District expressed regret, saying it was an isolated incident. District staff are regularly instructed on how to dispose of records but in this case someone dropped the ball, said Mike Parks, the district's director of pupil and personnel services. However, it appeared unlikely the employee responsible would be fired.

While apologetic to those affected, Parks told The Sacramento Bee, “We're looking at this as a learning experience.”

The Sacramento Bee
June 28, 2001

Forced Apology for Rudeness Sparks Debate

A debate over the limits to free speech in school has ensued after a Connecticut high school student was forced to apologize to the school’s public address system for making disrespectful remarks that disrupted a special assembly on job interview skills. While the Connecticut Civil Liberties Union takes the position that students should be able to present their opinions, school administrators argue free speech can be curtailed if a student’s behavior is viewed as disruptive.

Fifteen-year-old Tristan Kading, a sophomore at Stonington High School, was sent to a mandatory assembly in May, where company officials from McDonald’s had agreed to host a session on job interview skills. When a representative from the company asked for volunteers for mock job interviews, Kading volunteered, but when asked about himself, he said he hated large corporations like McDonald’s.

“That won’t get you a job at McDonald’s,” said the interviewer. Kading responded that he would not want to work for a company that falsely advertises its French fries, a remark that drew loud applause from his friends. Administrators then removed Kading from the assembly and, at the urging of principal Stephen Murphy, he read the apology over the school intercom.

The Hartford Courant
June 3, 2001

Not One Failing School?

There are no failing government schools in Florida, according to the May 30 report card generated by the state’s A-Plus reform plan. While some critics thought the results raise questions about the toughness or validity of the state’s tests, Gov. Jeb Bush contended that absence of failing schools shows the hard work of teachers and students in “developing reading, writing, and math skills is paying off.”

When a school receives two failing grades from the state within a four-year period, its students become eligible for vouchers to attend private schools, or they can transfer to better-performing government schools. In the first round of report cards, 78 schools received their first Fs. But by the second issuance of report cards, all had pulled up their grades sufficiently to avoid a second F. A study by Jay Greene for the Manhattan Institute indicated the threat of vouchers provided a powerful motivation for school staffs to improve.

However, the continued absence of any “failing schools” after report card No. 3 raises a question whether the state is taking too narrow a view of when students should become eligible for “opportunity scholarships.” That narrow view contrasts with the broad school choice opportunities now available to Florida’s disabled children. In late May, Bush signed into law the McKay Scholarship Program, which will make the state’s 340,000 disabled students eligible for vouchers if their parents are not satisfied with their government education.

The Friedman Report
June 2001

Charter Students Get 1/3 Less Funding

Although Georgia law requires local school districts to treat charter schools “no less favorably than other local schools” when it comes to instructional and administrative funding, DeKalb County school officials are providing Stone Mountain Charter School with 35 percent less funding per student than other county middle schools.

Although Stone Mountain has a contract that specifies it will receive the amount of revenue earned from the full-time equivalent pupil count and appropriate local and federal funds, the full amount of funding has not been forthcoming, forcing the charter school to rely on credit and operate at a monthly deficit.

Stone Mountain has taken the public school system to court.

Center for Education Reform Newswire
May 22, 2001

Teacher Performance Pay Plan Signed

Iowa has become the first state to institute a statewide performance pay plan for public school teachers. Gov. Tom Vilsack signed the bill in May over the objections of the Iowa State Education Association.

While the bill eliminates the seniority pay scale, it also contains all the elements that led to backtracking in Cincinnati this year: uncertain funding, uncertain teacher participation, and an extended entrenchment period, which leaves plenty of time to slow it down or stop it before it gets rolling.

Education Intelligence Agency Communique
May 29, 2001

Parents Win Homeschooling Lawsuit

In a May 31 decision, Circuit Court Judge Tyler L. Gill overruled a lower court that had ordered a 15-year-old homeschooled child into government schooling until she turned 18, two years beyond the state’s compulsory attendance law. The lower court had found the child guilty of truancy and issued a pickup order while issuing an arrest warrant for her mother for contempt of court.

In voiding those orders, Gill wrote that “parents have a fundamental right to direct the education and upbringing of their children. This right includes the right of parents to choose an alternative in lieu of public schools.”

However, the judge did go on to assert that it is reasonable for state authorities to demand proof—such as test scores—from homeschooling parents that their children are being educated.

The Friedman Report
June 2001
Maryland

Community Center Barred to Homeschoolers

A group of homeschooling parents in Calverton County wanted to use a local community center to hold meetings of a geography club for their children and other homeschooled children. The county said no, holding the families lose privileges of using the community center when they decided to homeschool. The families plan to ask a federal court to declare the county policy a violation of free speech and equal protection of the law.

"Anybody can use the community center, but we don't want space taken up for private education. We have a lot of facilities for that," said David F. Hale, president of Calverton County's Board of County Commissioners.

With the rapid growth of homeschooling, these kinds of controversies are spreading around the country. In Arizona, a couple teaching two blind children at home sued when the Scottsdale Unified School District denied the children use of the county's special education services.

*The Friedeman Report* June 2001

Nebraska

Union Objects to Paying Teacher More

During March of last year, administrators from the Crete Public Schools in Nebraska were looking to hire a new industrial technology teacher. After a month of advertising, the district could find only two qualified applicants, and offered one of them the job. The candidate told them he wouldn't take the job for less than $24,000. The district agreed and the board approved the amount, but failed to inform the Crete Education Association, which was in the midst of contract negotiations with the district.

In August, the union and the district agreed on a salary schedule that started at $21,650. Since the new teacher's contract was now out of compliance with the contract, the district gave the teacher a new contract at $21,650, with a $2,350 bonus to cover the difference between base salary and what he had been promised.

The union filed a complaint with the state Commission of Industrial Relations, claiming the arrangement was a "deviation" that violated the collective bargaining agreement. The commission agreed. The Nebraska State Education Association celebrated the ruling with a four-page cover story in its monthly newsletter, in which it noted, "The district cannot unilaterally offer incentives against the wishes of the local association."

While the story delved into state and federal labor law in great detail, it failed to report what happened to the teacher and his pay.

*Education Intelligence Agency Communiqué* June 11, 2001

New Jersey

Schundler Wins GOP Gubernatorial Primary

Jersey City mayor Bret Schundler won an upset gubernatorial primary on June 26 over the best-known Republican in the Garden State, former U.S. Representative Bob Franks, who almost beat Democrat Jon Corzine for U.S. Senate last year. Schundler, who received 57 percent of the vote in the highest primary turnout in 20 years, will face Democrat Jim McGreevey in the fall.

The New Jersey Education Association, the main teacher union in the state, has declared Schundler its No. 1 enemy and is expected to spend "buckets of money" to elect McGreevey.

The union has plenty of reasons to dislike the former Wall Street executive, who has won re-election three times in a staunchly Democratic city where only 6 percent of voters are registered Republicans. Schundler is a strong advocate of school choice, has helped open a charter school, has proposed a tuition tax credit plan, and has questioned the morality of the teacher unions opposing choice in education.

"Tell me how keeping poor kids trapped in schools that consistently won't reform helps society," he asks.

*Wall Street Journal* June 28, 2001

Oregon

No College Tuition Vouchers for High Schoolers

Oregon State Senator Avi Goldberg, a Democrat from Portland, withdrew a novel school voucher bill from further consideration in June when it became clear the proposal would fail in the House, even though it would most likely pass in the Senate. The bill would have allowed high school juniors and seniors in the state to take college courses, with the tuition paid for by their high schools. Classes could be taken at local community colleges and at public or private universities, including religious institutions.

Teacher unions and public school officials strongly opposed the bill, saying it would drain funds from school districts and criticizing the idea as a way to introduce "vouchers" into the state.

Goldberg told the *Statesman Journal* the program had been unfairly tarnished by calling it a "voucher." It wasn't a voucher, she claimed, because students would still be enrolled at their public high school. Under this definition, K-12 students could take publicly funded classes at private and religious institutions and not be considered "voucher" students as long as they remained enrolled at their local public schools.

After the bill was pulled, a compromise agreement was reached allowing a state-funded pilot program to be established, with a sampling of students from urban, suburban, and rural districts.

*Statesman Journal* June 22, 2001

Texas

Student Kicked Unconscious for "Racist" Rebel Flag

After a school official branded Ryan Zane Oleichi as a "racist" for wearing a tiny Confederate flag on his shirt, students at Labay Middle School outside of Houston, Texas began to verbally abuse and harass the 13-year-old student.

On April 26, Confederate Memorial Day in Texas, Oleichi was carrying a school library book with a Confederate flag on the cover when he was attacked by a black student and a Hispanic student. The Hispanic student kicked Oleichi in the head with steel-toed boots until he was unconscious, sending him to the hospital for three days. When he returned to school, he was subjected to still more verbal abuse and death threats.

School officials did nothing about the beating incident or about the continuing abuse, even though the Hispanic assailant was now reported as saying he was "not satisfied, and won't be until Ryan is dead." The District Attorney also refused to file charges. A week after Oleichi returned to school, his mother withdrew him and will educate him at home.

Oleichi had attended school several times without incident wearing the shirt with the Confederate flag patch, measuring a mere one inch by one and a half inches. But on February 19, Assistant Principal Cheryl Morrison punished him with a three-day detention for wearing the flag on his shirt—even though school rules call for only a one-day suspension for dress code violations. After the detention, Morrison forced Oleichi to apologi-
Deja Vu: The Case for School Choice

After 12 years, inner-city schools still fail to educate

American schools are in trouble, and inner-city students suffer the most. Despite ever-increasing funding for education, test scores have been falling since 1963, and today it is virtually impossible to get a decent education in an inner-city public school. There are, however, a few rays of hope—from research on what makes a good school to structural reforms that would give more students access to good schools.

“Bonita Brodt reports from the front lines. After months observing an inner-city public elementary school in Chicago, she concludes that ‘we are at risk of losing an entire generation of children to the culture of poverty.’”

Although the observations have a familiar, contemporary ring to them, they were in fact written 12 years ago, in November 1989, and subsequently published in the 1990 Cato Institute book, Liberating Schools: Education in the Inner City, edited by David Boaz. Since then, “an entire generation of children” has passed through the nation’s inner-city public schools, where it still is “virtually impossible to get a decent education.”

In the introductory chapter to the book, “The Public School Monopoly: America’s Berlin Wall,” Boaz makes what remains one of the best arguments for school choice. He explains why a system organized like the old Soviet Union has no competitive incentive to produce high-quality education efficiently or to produce the kind of well-educated graduates that parents, employers, and college professors expect.

But simply giving parents a choice of public schools is not enough, warns Boaz, because that choice would enhance segregation; and—still more burdensome by this surfeit of second-rate literature—anything other area of public policy. E.S. Savas’s new book, his first since 1987, makes apparent the wide divide between a true expert and the many amateurs who play at debating the privatization of public services, including schooling. Privatization and Public-Private Partnerships is the result of 30 years of fieldwork by the man who co-invented the term “privatization,” was the first to implement it, and has devoted a lifetime to its study and promotion.

It is a pleasure to read a book that is written clearly and with brevity, with a minimum of jargon and a focus on precision and accuracy. Savas defines terms, plots goods and services according to their exclusion and consumption properties, lists steps and options and pros and cons in straightforward tables, and more. The footnotes show the author’s total mastery of the literature and will serve as the best bibliography on privatization now in print. The book’s tone combines a scientist’s objectivity with a genuine respect for the diverse values and concerns of his audience.

Chapter 10, on “Reforming Education and Privatizing the Welfare State,” makes a potent case for school vouchers. The usual objections are raised and answered with unusual confidence and simplicity. It is a tour de force in fewer than 20 pages.

Opponents of school vouchers—whether from the left or the right ends of the political spectrum—will benefit considerably from this clear and compelling explanation of what privatization is, how it works, evidence of its success in a wide range of fields and countries, and why vouchers are the most appropriate form of privatization to apply to schooling. Commenting on one of his tables, Savas writes, “the voucher system and the market system stand out with almost unbroken strings of positive attributes.”

This book is highly recommended.

Joseph Bast is president of The Heartland Institute, the publisher of School Reform News. He can be reached by email at jbast@heartland.org
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Privatization: No More Excuses

Privatization and
Public-Private Partnerships

BY E.S. SAVAS
New York, NY: Chatham House Publishers, 2000. 34.95 (pb); to order call 212/529-4686 or visit www.chathamhouse.com.
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and too many unqualified teachers. But lifetime teacher union member and education policy expert Myron Lieberman disagrees and here presents a convincing case that the teacher unions themselves are the major roadblock to improving our public schools.

Lieberman details how the National Education Association and the American Federation of Teachers, with over three million members and national revenues of over $1 billion a year, use their power to advance their own interests, block reforms, and maintain the status quo.


Perhaps a 230-page bound report does not qualify as a book, but this Heritage Foundation publication is an indispensable companion for anyone who needs specific, up-to-date state-level information on school choice. For each state, the report profiles K-12 student demographics, academic performance, educational expenditures, recent school choice legislation, homeschooling laws, and contact information for state organizations that support parental choice in education.

TEACHERS AND TEACHING

John Corcoran, The Teacher Who Couldn't Read Colorado Springs, CO: Focus on the Family, 1994

After reading this book, it's difficult to have any faith at all in the quality of college education courses, teacher certification, high school employment screening, and on-the-job performance assessment. That's because, in John Corcoran's case, every one of those processes failed to catch the fact that he was illiterate. He couldn't read in elementary school, couldn't read when he was awarded a high school diploma, was still illiterate when he awarded a degree in education from Texas Western College in 1961, and still couldn't read a word after a 17-year career as a high school teacher and coach in California. He finally learned to read in 1986.


If it's difficult to believe what Diane Ravitch and John Taylor Gatto say about the anti-intellectualism prevalent in the public schools, Rita Kramer's book will dispel any lingering doubts.

Kramer spent a year looking at what goes into the training of public school teachers at 13 schools of education across the country. What she found was that the teachers of teachers "almost nowhere" emphasized the measurable learning of real knowledge—a fact that ceased to surprise her when it became clear that the goal of schooling is not instructional or intellectual but political.

"The school is to be remade into a republic of feelings—as distinct from a republic of learning—where everyone can feel he deserves an A," she concludes gloomily.

Conspicuously absent from the training was any knowledge of the American Republic or any appreciation of the nation's common culture and the institutions from which it derives. After television, she concluded, "our schools of education are the greatest contributors to the 'dumbing down' of America."

HOMESCHOOLING


Ransom's book is a very practical guide to home educating children of different ages and needs. She provides a comprehensive guide to homeschooling resources, from curricula to cyber schools, from study programs to support organizations. While designed with the new homeschooler in mind, this book is likely to serve as a comprehensive resource for veteran home educators, too.


Mother and daughter Jessie Wise and Susan Wise Bauer have assembled a comprehensive handbook on how parents can prepare their child to read, write, calculate, think, and understand by providing the child with an academically rigorous classical education at home.
When public schools have insufficient capacity, vouchers make it possible for poor families to enroll their children in private schools

BY HARRY ANTHONY PATRINOS

School vouchers and targeted scholarship programs in the U.S. generally are used to help low-income families transfer their children from inadequate public schools to more acceptable private schools. In developing countries, these same tools are often used to help poor families invest in schooling when the capacity of public schools isn't adequate to meet demand.

For example, the number of seats available in public educational institutions in Côte d'Ivoire, Africa's Ivory Coast, is insufficient to meet student demand. To bridge some of the supply gap, the government has introduced a program of sponsoring needy students to attend private institutions. Private schools receive a payment for each sponsored student placed at their institution.

In 1997, the government paid out some $103 million to sponsor over 162,000 students in private primary and secondary schools.

In The Gambia, the government is introducing a scholarship program for girls in the country's upper basic and secondary schools. In the most deprived regions of The Gambia, full scholarships for tuition, books, and examination fees will be awarded to one-third of the girls in schools with low enrollment. In less-deprived regions, full scholarships for tuition and examination fees will be awarded to 10 percent of the girls who are excelling in science, mathematics, and technology.

The views expressed here are those of the author and should not be attributed to the World Bank Group.
Want more customers, sales, and profits?

Then you need to advertise your service or product in the next issue of School Reform News!

Once you've had a chance to review our media kit, we're confident you'll agree that School Reform News is the perfect place to reach:

- Teachers
- State & Local Officials
- Education Journalists
- Administrators
- Technology Coordinators
- Board Members
- Media Specialists
- Principals
- Superintendents
- and more!!

Mention this ad when you call for a free media kit. In return, we'll offer you a special introductory rate.

Just place three consecutive ads (must be 1/2 page or larger) and we'll give you our 12-time contract rate!

To request a media kit and qualify for this offer, please call Nikki at 312/377-4000 x103 or send email to nikki@heartland.org